APPENDIX OF MNN Appendix 1. How to determine FLOPS and timeschedule in the evaluation of backend cost Both CPU and GPU use FLOPS to measure the capability of the processors. Only GPU has the timeschedule term. Their values are determined as follows. • FLOPS. For CPU, if the OS is Linux or Android, we can access the maximal frequency of each CPU core. Then choose the largest k frequencies and add them together as the FLOPS term, where k is the pre-specified number of threads (such as two threads or four threads). For the other CPU systems, set $FLOPS = 2 \cdot 10^9$. For GPU, we estimate the FLOPS through practical running. Specifically, we run the MobileNet-v1 network for 100 times and obtain the FLOPS values for a bunch of common mobile GPUs. The results are shown in the list below. For those GPUs not in this list, we set the *FLOPS* as 4×10^9 , namely, faster than CPU (*FLOPS* = $2 \cdot 10^9$), as is the normal case. The list of GPU FLOPS (10⁹): Mali-T860: 6.83; Mali-T880: 6.83; Mali-G51: 6.83; Mali-G52: 6.83; Mali-G71: 31.61; Mali-G72: 31.61; Mali-G76: 31.61; Adreno (TM) 505: 3.19; Adreno (TM) 506: 4.74; Adreno (TM) 512: 14.23; Adreno (TM) 530: 25.40; Adreno (TM) 540: 42.74; Adreno (TM) 615: 16.77; Adreno (TM) 616: 18.77; Adreno (TM) 618: 18.77; Adreno (TM) 630: 42.74; Adreno (TM) 640: 42.74. • timeschedule. This value depends on the adopted graphical API. For OpenCL and OpenGL, it is empirically set to 0.05 (ms), which is the normal average time of calling API like clEnqueueNDRKernel+. For Vulkan, since it only needs to summit commandBuffer+, which is less time-consuming, thus time_{schedule} can be estimated as 0.01 (ms).